My reviewers confirmed for me that I have approached the problem correctly and solved the problem completely. I also decided to include some relevant humour in my report as I know doing reviews is quite boring and my reviewers might like the break from such monotony. I WAS WRONG. One of my reviewers scolded me for including any kind of humour and insisted on making my reports more “professional”, I was not aware before now that some people do not anatomically have a SINGLE funny bone in their body. This has been a very good learning experience for me as I now know more about the world then I did before. In the future I shall make two copies of the report, one for cultured individuals such as myself and one with all signs of life removed. The latter intended for unfortunate souls who happened to have been born dead inside and destined to live a life in purposeless middle management. Thus, my reports can now make whoever reads them happy.

My reviewers confirmed that my algorithm worked by testing it on many inputs and confirming that the output was correct this included testing for larger numbers and more unique limits for my program, as well as analysing sections of my code and confirming that the approach would work. My reviewers told me everything relevant to their end of the review process given the project they had. Unfortunately, because my report had all necessary information and worked for all of the requirements stated there is not much else for my reviewers or myself to comment on.

Overall, my reviewers told me that my code was good, my report contained all necessary information, and finally that some people have never experienced any joy in their life. I rate my reviewers 10/10 as I have learned from them and they gave me sufficient feedback.